

PRESENTER:

SCHOOL/INSTITUTE/FACULTY:

UNIVERSITY:

3MT TITLE:

CATEGORY 1: COMPREHENSION AND CONTENT

Strong 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 Weak

Y / N	Did the presentation provide the background and significance of the research question or motivation behind the project/work while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?
Y / N	Did the presentation clearly describe the impact of the work, possibly including results, conclusions and outcomes?
Y / N	Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?
Y / N	Was the topic, research/work significance, etc communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?
Y / N	Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation - or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?

CATEGORY 2: ENGAGEMENT AND COMMUNICATION

Strong 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 Weak

Y / N	Did the oration make the audience want to know more?
Y / N	Was the presenter careful not to trivialise or generalise their research?
Y / N	Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research?
Y / N	Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?
Y / N	Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?
Y / N	Did the PowerPoint slide enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?

TOTAL SCORE

Category 1 + Category 2 = _____ / 14

